On bifurcations of multidimensional diffeomorphisms having a homoclinic tangency to a saddle-node.

Gonchenko S.V.[1], **Gordeeva O.V.**[1], **Lukjanov V.I.**[1], **Ovsyannikov I.I.**[12]

[1] *Nizhny Novgorod State University*
[2] *Universität Bremen, Germany*
E-mail: gonchenko@pochta.ru, olga.gordeeva@inbox.ru, viluk@yandex.ru, Ivan.I.Ovsyannikov@gmail.com

arXiv:1412.0932v1 [math.DS] 2 Dec 2014

Abstract

We study main bifurcations of multidimensional diffeomorphisms having a non-transversal homoclinic orbit to a saddle-node fixed point. On a parameter plane we build a bifurcation diagram for single-round periodic orbits lying entirely in a small neighbourhood of the homoclinic orbit. Also a relation of our results to well-known codimension one bifurcations of a saddle fixed point with a quadratic homoclinic tangency and a saddle-node fixed point with a transversal homoclinic orbit is discussed.

Keywords: Saddle-node, homoclinic tangency, Arnold tongues.
Mathematics Subject Classification: 37C05, 34C37, 37C29, 37G25.

1 Introduction

Bifurcations of systems with homoclinic structures have a special meaning for the mathematical theory of dynamical chaos. It is well-known from the Shilnikov work [1] that the set of orbits entirely lying in a neighbourhood of a transverse Poincaré homoclinic orbit has a complex structure: it is the nontrivial uniformly hyperbolic set containing a countable number of periodic and hyperbolic orbits, continuum Poisson stable orbits etc. In the case of systems with homoclinic tangencies, the situation becomes much more complicated and even unpredictable in a sense. The point is that bifurcations of such systems can lead to the appearance of periodic and homoclinic orbits of any orders of degeneracy, [2, 3, 4, 5]. Therefore, the complete study of bifurcations of such systems is principally impossible and, hence, the problems connecting with the study of principal bifurcations and characteristic features of the dynamics should come to the foreground here. This fully relates to the problem under consideration in the present paper — the study of bifurcations of diffeomorphisms with homoclinic tangencies to saddle-node fixed points.

This problem is naturally connected with two other known problems concerning the study of global bifurcations. The first of them is a bifurcation of diffeomorphisms with quadratic homoclinic tangencies to a saddle fixed point. Note that it was first studied by N.K. Gavrilov and L.P. Shilnikov in their famous paper [6], where, in fact, the foundation of the mathematical theory of homoclinic chaos was laid. The second problem, the study of global bifurcations of diffeomorphisms with a transverse homoclinic orbit to a saddle-node, was considered first by V.I. Lukjanov and L.P. Shilnikov in [7] in which certain conditions for the onset of chaos immediately after the disappearance of the saddle-node were found. In fact, in [7] the mathematical theory was constructed that has predicted and explained the phenomenon "intermittency" known as one of main mechanism of chaos onset in models from applications.

The main results of the present paper, Theorems 1 and 2, can be considered as a generalization of the well-known theorems on "cascade of periodic sinks", [6, 7, 8], onto the case of homoclinic tangency to a saddle-node. One can say that our case (Fig. 1(a)) is a "meeting



Figure 1: a) saddle-node with a homoclinic tangency; b) saddle with a homoclinic tangency; c) saddle-node with a transversal homoclinic orbit.

point" of the Gavrilov-Shilnikov (Fig. 1(b)) and Lukjanov-Shilnikov (Fig. 1(c)) cases. This accounts for our interest to the problem under consideration.

We note that the study of global bifurcations accompanying the disappearance of a saddle-node with homoclinic orbits has also an important meaning for applications. In particular, such bifurcations underly certain scenarios of the emergence of strange attractors of type "torus-chaos". Physically, these scenarios describe bifurcation phenomena which occur at the transition from a synchronization regime to a chaotic one. Mathematical basics of the corresponding theory were laid in the work by V.S. Afraimovich and L.P. Shilnikov [9], see also [10, 11].



Figure 2: The Arnold tongues.

In these scenarios, the codimension two bifurcation of homoclinic tangency to a saddle-node periodic point plays a significant role. Thus, in Fig. 2 a fragment of the bifurcation diagram near the line L_φ corresponding to the existence of a fixed point with multipliers $e^{\pm i\varphi}$ is shown. When parameters cross L_φ (upwards) the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation occurs and, as a result, the fixed point becomes unstable (of focal type) and a stable closed invariant curve is born in its neighbourhood. It is well-known that a pair of bifurcation lines $L^1_{p/q}$ and $L^2_{p/q}$ starts from every resonant point $\varphi = 2\pi p/q$ of L_φ corresponding to the existence of a saddle-node point of period q on the invariant curve. The domain between the lines

$L^1_{p/q}$ and $L^2_{p/q}$ is called a synchronization zone or an "Arnold tongue". The saddle-node falls into two points (saddle and node) of period q for values of parameters inside the tongue and disappears outside it. When changing values of parameters along the line $L^{1,2}_{p/q}$ the invariant curve is smooth at the beginning, Fig. 3(a), then it loses the smoothness and becomes "corrugated", Fig. 3(b). Moreover, a point H exists on $L^{1,2}_{p/q}$ such that the unstable and strong stable invariant manifolds of the saddle-node touch, Fig. 3(a), evidently, at this moment the invariant curve does not longer exist. Accordingly, a bifurcation line L_h exists inside the tongue beginning at the point H and corresponding to the existence of a homoclinic tangency to the saddle point.



Figure 3: a) smooth; b) non-smooth closed invariant curve; c) the homoclinic tangency appearance.

Note that the study of bifurcations of diffeomorphisms in a neighbourhood of the point H has never been done before and, thus, it remains an important stage for understanding bifurcation phenomena that occur during the transition from synchronization regime to chaos. We need to recall several results related to this topic. In [12], [13] a structure of orbits entirely lying in a small neighbourhood of homoclinic orbits to nonhyperbolic points (of saddle-node or saddle type) was studied. Bifurcations of two-dimensional flows having a homoclinic loop Γ of a saddle-node equilibrium O such that $\Gamma \subset W^{ss}$ (i.e. Γ enters O along the nonleading direction) were considered in [14]. Note that homoclinic tangencies to saddle-nodes can naturally appear under small periodic perturbations of such autonomous flows.

In the present paper we study principal bifurcations in two parameter families of multi-dimensional diffeomorphisms having a quadratic homoclinic tangency to a saddle-node.

2 Statement of the problem and main results

Consider a C^r-smooth, $r \geq 4$, $(n+1)$-dimensional diffeomorphism f_0, $n \geq 1$, having a fixed point O of a saddle-node type and a nontransversal homoclinic to it orbit Γ_0, see fig. 1 a). We assume that f_0 has no other degeneracies i.e. it satisfies conditions **A.** and **B.** formulated below.

A. The fixed point O is a non-degenerate saddle-node, i.e. it has multipliers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}$, where $|\lambda_i| < 1$, $i = \overline{1,n}$, and $\lambda_{n+1} = 1$. The first Lyapunov value l_1 at O is non-zero. Without loss of generality we assume that $l_1 > 0$.

Let U_0 be some small neighbourhood of O. It is well known that there exists a nonleading (strong stable) C^r-smooth n-dimensional invariant manifold $W^{ss}(O)$ tangent to the eigendi-

rections corresponding to multipliers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Thus, U_0 is divided by W^{ss} into two parts which we will call the node U^+ and the saddle U^- zones. The positive semi-trajectory of any point of U^+ tends to O being tangent to the leading eigendirection corresponding to the multiplier $\lambda_{n+1} = 1$. In the saddle zone U^- there exists a one-dimensional C^r-smooth unstable manifold W^u which consists of those points negative semi-trajectories of which tend to O at backward iterations of f_0. All other points of U^- leave U_0 at the both forward and backward iterations. The unstable manifold W^u is tangent to the leading eigendirection (corresponding to λ_{n+1}) at O.

B. Invariant manifolds $W^u(O)$ and $W^{ss}(O)$ have a quadratic tangency at the points of the homoclinic orbit Γ_0.

Take some homoclinic point $M^+ \in W^{ss} \cap U_0$ of Γ_0 and its small neighbourhood $\Pi^+ \subset U_0$. Denote as l_u a piece $W^u \cap \Pi^+$ of the unstable manifold W^u containing M^+. We will distinguish two main cases of a homoclinic tangency to the saddle-node, *tangency from a node zone* when $l_u \subset U^-$ (fig. 1a) and *tangency from a saddle zone* when $l_u \subset U^+$ (fig. 3c).

We recall the following facts from the theory of invariant manifolds [11, 14, 17, 18]. First of all, f_0 in U_0 possesses a center manifold $W^C(O)$ which is not unique. Each such manifold is a one-dimensional C^r-smooth curve which coincides with $W^u_{loc}(O)$ in U^-, intersects U^+ and is tangent in it to the leading eigendirection. Moreover, f_0 in U_0 possesses a unique strong stable invariant foliation F^{ss}. Its leaves are n-dimensional planes which are transversal to each $W^C(O)$, in particular, in U^- they all are transversal to W^u. Moreover, the strong stable manifold W^{ss} is one of the leaves.

Diffeomorphisms satisfying conditions **A** and **B** comprise a codimension-2 bifurcation surface $\mathcal{B}_2$ in the space of $(n+1)$-dimensional C^r-diffeomorphisms. To study bifurcations of f_0 we consider a two parameter family f_μ, $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$, which is transverse to $\mathcal{B}_2$ at $\mu = 0$. The initial diffeomorphism f_0 belongs to this family at $\mu = 0$.

We choose governing parameters μ_1 and μ_2 in the following way. Parameter μ_1 is the splitting parameter of manifolds W^u and W^{ss} with respect to some homoclinic point (for example, M^+) in the case when the saddle-node exists. Thus, W^u and W^{ss} will intersect transversely in two points close to M^+ when $\mu_1 < 0$ in the case of tangency from the saddle zone ($\mu_1 > 0$ in the case of tangency from the node zone); correspondingly, W^u and W^{ss} will have no intersection near M^+ if $\mu_1 > 0$ ($\mu_1 < 0$). The second parameter μ_2 controls bifurcations of the fixed point O such that f_μ possesses a saddle-node fixed point at $\mu_2 = 0$, does not have fixed points in U_0 (the saddle-node disappears) when $\mu_2 > 0$ and the saddle-node is split into two fixed points (saddle O_1 and node O_2) when $\mu_2 < 0$.

While changing parameters (μ_1, μ_2), bifurcations will take place in f_μ. In particular, they will be related to the emergence of new homoclinic structures.

Theorem 1 *In any sufficiently small neighbourhood of the origin $\mu = 0$ on the parameter plane $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ there exist two bifurcation curves $L_+ : \ \mu_2 = 0$ and $L_h : \ \mu_1 = \sqrt{-\mu_2} + O(|\mu_2|^{3/2})$, see Fig. 4. If $\mu \in L_+$, each diffeomorphism f_μ possesses a fixed point of the saddle-node type close to O; if $\mu \in L_h$, then f_μ has a nontransversal homoclinic orbit Γ_μ close to Γ_0 in points of which the invariant manifolds $W^u(O_1)$ and $W^s(O_1)$ of the saddle fixed point O_1 have a quadratic tangency.*

- *In domain* **I** *($\mu_2 > 0$) the diffeomorphism f_μ has no fixed points.*
- *In domains* **II** *and* **III** *the diffeomorphism f_μ has two fixed points, saddle O_1 and node O_2. If $\mu \in$* **II***, there are no homoclinic orbits to saddle O_1 close to Γ_μ, and if $\mu \in$* **III***, there are two transversal homoclinic orbits to O_1 close to Γ_μ.*

Figures 4 illustrate the statement of the theorem in both cases of tangency from the saddle and node zones respectively.



Figure 4: Splitting the (μ_1, μ_2) plane onto domains by the codimension-one bifurcation lines L_+ and L_h. a) Tangency from the saddle zone; b) tangency from the node zone.

Consider a sufficiently small neighbourhood U of $O \cup \Gamma_0$ which is called an extended neighbourhood of the saddle-node O. It is a union of a small neighbourhood U_0 of the fixed point O and a finite set of small neighbourhoods V_i of those points of Γ_0 that lie outside U_0. We call a periodic orbit of f_μ lying entirely in U *single-round* if it intersects each of V_i in exactly one point.

We will study bifurcations of single-round periodic orbits in the two parameter family f_μ for small μ.

For $\mu = 0$ we choose in U_0 two homoclinic points $M^+ \in W^{ss}_{loc}(O)$ and $M^- \in W^u_{loc}(O)$. Let $\Pi^+, \Pi^- \subset U_0$ be their respective sufficiently small neighbourhoods of diameter ε. Evidently, there exists an integer q such that $M^- = f_0^q(M^+)$. Then, for all small μ, two maps by the orbits of the diffeomorphism f_μ will be defined in U_0: these are the *local map* $T_0 = f_\mu|_{U_0}$ and the *global map* $T_1 = f_\mu^q : \Pi^- \to \Pi^+$. By construction, any single-round periodic orbit, lying entirely in U, has exactly one intersection point with the neighbourhoods Π^+ and Π^-. A point of such an orbit in Π^+ can be regarded as a fixed point of the corresponding *first return map* $T_k = T_1 \cdot T_0^k : \Pi^+ \to \Pi^- \to \Pi^+$, where T_0^k maps points from Π^+ to Π^-. We will study bifurcations of fixed points of the first return maps T_k for all sufficiently large k. As a result we will construct the bifurcation diagram for single-round periodic orbits of diffeomorphisms from the family f_μ. Its structure is described by the following theorem:

Theorem 2 *1) On the parameter plane (μ_1, μ_2) in any sufficiently small neighbourhood of the origin there exist a countable set of disjoint domains $\triangle_k$ such that for $\mu \in \triangle_k$ the diffeomorphism f_μ possesses an asymptotically stable single-round periodic orbit.*

2) The boundaries of domains $\triangle_k$ are bifurcation lines L_k^+ and L_k^- corresponding to codimension one bifurcations, saddle-node and period-doubling ones, respectively.

3) As $k \to \infty$ the domains $\triangle_k$ accumulate to curve $L_h \cup \{L_+ \cap \{\mu_1 < 0\}\}$.

Figure 5 illustrates the statement of Theorem 2. Also a possible geometry of the first return map T_k while varying parameters inside $\triangle_k$ is represented there. Vertical dashed lines



Figure 5: Bifurcation diagram for the family f_μ in the case of tangency from the saddle zone.

$\mu_2 = \text{const} \sim \dfrac{1}{k^2}$ are nominal boundaries such that the corresponding domains $\triangle_k$ do not cross them. Two domains are highlighted at the figure: RD (rescaling domain) and D_{LS} (Lukjanov-Shilnikov domain) in which the geometry of the first return map is considerably different. Namely, if $\mu \in$ RD, the geometry is similar to that observed during the emergence of the Smale horseshoe in various problems related to the study of homoclinic tangencies. Here the rescaling method can be applied (see lemma 2) which shows that the first return map can be represented in the form of Hénon map. When $\mu \in D_{LS}$ the geometry is different: the image of a stripe under the first return map will have a form of a "half-horseshoe" (see figure 5). Such geometry was observed, in particular, in [7]. Generally speaking, domains RD and D_{LS} do not intersect but bifurcation curves can be smoothly continued from RD to D_{LS}. Note that domain D_{LS} appears at the bifurcation diagram due to the well-known "effect of a neighbourhood" when orbits leave the neighbourhood under consideration Π^+ without bifurcating and therefore the information about them gets lost. However, if the neighbourhood size increases or parameters decrease, this effect disappears. Anyway, we can consider any sufficiently small neighbourhood of the origin $\mu = 0$ as RD.

Remark 1 *In the domain RD for any fixed $\mu_2 < 0$ in the corresponding family f_{μ_1}, an infinite cascade of periodic sinks is observed (a sequence $\delta_k = \triangle_k \cap \{\mu_2 = const\}$) according to the famous theorem by Gavrilov and Shilnikov* [6]. *Analogous cascade of bifurcations will be observed also in family f_{μ_2} for fixed $\mu_1 > -\varepsilon$. However, for $\mu \in D_{LS}$ in family f_{μ_2} a cascade $\tilde{\delta}_k = \triangle_k \cap \{\mu_1 = const\}$ will be observed in accordance to the corresponding theorem by Lukjanov and Shilnikov* [7]. *Also note that only a finite number of periodic sinks will be*

observed in any one-parametric family f_{μ_1} for fixed $\mu_2 > 0$.

3 Properties of maps T_0 and T_1

It is well-known [16], [7] that C^{r-1}-smooth coordinates exists in U_0 such that the local map T_0 can be written, for all sufficiently small μ, in the following (finitely smooth) normal form

$$\begin{cases} \bar{x} = A(\mu)x + O(\|x\|^2|y|) \\ \bar{y} = \mu_2 + y + y^2 + O(|y|^3), \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $A(\mu)$ is an $(n \times n)$-matrix such that $\|A\| = \lambda < 1$. Note that the second equation of (1) does not depend on x-coordinates. In the coordinates (x, y) at $\mu = 0$ the fixed point O lies in the origin and its unstable W^u and strong stable W^{ss} manifolds are locally straightened, their equations are $W^u : \{x = 0\}$, $W^{ss} : \{y = 0\}$. For all small μ, the invariant foliation F^{ss} consists of n-dimensional planes $\{y = \text{const}\}$ and the axis $x = 0$ is also an invariant line. For $\mu_2 < 0$ the local map T_0 possesses two fixed points, saddle O_1 and node O_2:

$$O_1 : \{x = 0, y = \sqrt{-\mu_2} + O(|\mu_2|^{3/2})\},\ O_2 : \{x = 0, y = -\sqrt{-\mu_2} + O(|\mu_2|^{3/2})\} \tag{2}$$

According to (1) and (2) the local stable and unstable manifolds $W^s_{loc}(O_1)$ and $W^u_{loc}(O_1)$ have equations $\{y = \sqrt{-\mu_2} + O(|\mu_2|^{3/2})\}$ and $\{x = 0\}$, respectively.

By (1), we can assume that $M^+ = (x^+, 0)$ and $M^- = (0, y^-)$, where $x^+ > 0$ and $y^- > 0$. We note that points from Π^+ can reach Π^- under iterations of T_0. In order to construct the corresponding map from Π^+ to Π^- by the orbits of T_0, we discuss, first, geometrical properties of T_0 for various μ_2.



Figure 6: Geometry of the local map for a) $\mu_2 < 0$; b) $\mu_2 = 0$.

If $\mu_2 \le 0$, then, evidently, there is such sufficiently large $\bar{k}$ that the images $T_0^k\Pi^+$ for all $k \ge \bar{k}$ have non-empty intersections with Π^-, see Fig. 6. Correspondingly, in Π^- there exist a countable set of non-intersecting "stripes" $\sigma_k^1 = T_0^k\Pi^+ \cap \Pi^-$ which accumulate to W^u_{loc} as $k \to \infty$. The pre-images of these "stripes" are "stripes" $\sigma_k^0 \equiv T_0^{-k}\sigma_k^1 \subset \Pi^+$ which accumulate either to $W^{ss}_{loc}(O)$ at $\mu_2 = 0$ or to $W^s_{loc}(O_1)$ at $\mu_2 < 0$.

The situation is very different when $\mu_2 > 0$, see Figure 7, as any orbit leave U_0 for a finite number of iterations of T_0. Consequently, only a finite number of stripes σ_k^0 such that $T_0^k\sigma_k^0 \cap \Pi^- \neq \emptyset$ remains in Π^+. Thus, for any $\mu_2 > 0$ there exists some natural $k^* = k^*(\mu_2) > \bar{k}$, $k^* \to \infty$ as $\mu_2 \to +0$, such that $T_0^k\Pi^+ \cap \Pi^- = \emptyset$ for all $k > k^*$.

Let (x_i, y_i), $i = \overline{0,k}$ be a set of points in U_0 such that $(x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) = T_0(x_i, y_i)$. One of the important peculiarities of local coordinates (1) is that the relation $(x_k, y_k) = T_0^k(x_0, y_0)$ allows a quite convenient representation in the so-called Shilnikov cross-form [1]. Thus, the following lemma holds.



Figure 7: Geometry of the local map for $\mu_2 > 0$.

Lemma 1 *For any sufficiently small μ, the map $T_0^k : (x_0, y_0) \to (x_k, y_k)$ can be represented in the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= A^k x_0 + o(\lambda^k)\xi_k(x_0, y_k, \mu) \\ y_0 &= \frac{\nu_k(\mu)y^- - \mu_2}{\nu_k(\mu) + y^-} + \frac{\theta_k(\mu)(1+\rho_k(\mu))}{(\nu_k(\mu)+y^-)^2}(y_k - y^-) + \theta_k(\mu)O((y_k - y^-)^2), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where

$$\nu_k(\mu) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\sqrt{-\mu_2}}{\tanh[k\sqrt{-\mu_2}]}, & \mu_2 < 0 \\ \dfrac{1}{k}, & \mu_2 = 0 \\ \dfrac{\sqrt{\mu_2}}{\tan[k\sqrt{\mu_2}]}, & \mu_2 > 0, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$$\theta_k(\mu) = \nu_k^2 + \mu_2, \tag{5}$$

$|\rho_k(\mu)| << 1$, *functions* $\xi_k(x_0, y_k, \mu)$ *are uniformly bounded in* k *along with all their derivatives up to the order* $(r-3)$, $\|x_k\|_{C^{r-2}} = O(\lambda^k)$ *and* $\|x_k\|_{C^{r-1}} \to 0$ *as* $k \to \infty$.

Note that an analogous result was obtained in [12], [13] for the case $\mu_2 \geq 0$. From the second equation of (3), one can obtain the following estimate for k^* (see also [12], [13]):

$$k^* \sim \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\mu_2}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}. \tag{6}$$

Formulas (4) and (5) imply that for all sufficiently small μ

$$\theta_k = \begin{cases} \dfrac{-\mu_2}{\sinh^2 k\sqrt{-\mu_2}}, & \mu_2 < 0 \\ \dfrac{1}{k^2}, & \mu_2 = 0 \\ \dfrac{\mu_2}{\sin^2 k\sqrt{\mu_2}}, & \mu_2 > 0. \end{cases}$$

The global map $T_1 : \Pi^- \to \Pi^+$ for all sufficiently small μ can be written in the following form:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bar{x}_0 - x^+ = F(x_1, y_1 - y^-, \mu) \\ \bar{y}_0 = G(x_1, y_1 - y^-, \mu), \end{array} \tag{7}$$

where $(x_0, y_0) \in \Pi^+$, $(x_1, y_1) \in \Pi^-$; functions F and G are C^{r-1}-smooth and $F(0,0,0) = G(0,0,0) = 0$. Condition **B** means that $W^u(O)$ and $W^{ss}(O)$ at $\mu = 0$ have a quadratic tangency at the point $M^+(x^+, 0)$ that implies $G'_y(0,0,0) = 0$, $G''_{yy}(0,0,0) \neq 0$.

We can rewrite (7) as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bar{x}_0 - x^+ = ax_1 + b(y_1 - y^-) + O(\|x_1\|^2 + \|x_1\|(y_1 - y^-) + (y_1 - y^-)^2) \\ \bar{y}_0 = y^+(\mu) + c^\top x_1 + d(y_1 - y^-)^2 + O(\|x_1\|^2 + \|x_1\|(y_1 - y^-) + (y_1 - y^-)^3). \end{array} \tag{8}$$

where $y^+(0) = 0$ and the coefficients y^+, y^-, x^+, d as well as n-dimensional vectors b, c and $n \times n$ matrix a depend smoothly on μ. The condition **B** means that $d \neq 0$. Note that $d > 0$ (resp. $d < 0$) if the homoclinic tangency is from the saddle zone (the node zone).

Without loss of generality, we set $\mu_1 \equiv y^+(\mu)$. Then, as it is seen from (8), μ_1 is the distance between $T_1 W^u_{loc}(O)$ and $W^{ss}_{loc}(O)$ at $\mu_2 = 0$.

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1

By virtue of (2) the equation of $W^s_{loc}(O_1) \cap \Pi^+$ for $\mu_2 < 0$ is $\{\|x - x^+\| < \varepsilon,\ y = \sqrt{-\mu_2} + O(|\mu_2|^{3/2})\}$. By (8), the equation of the curve $T_1(W^u_{loc}(O_1))$ is $\{x_0 = x^+ + b(y_1 - y^-) + O((y_1 - y^-)^2),\ y_0 = \mu_1 + d(y_1 - y^-)^2 + O(|y_1 - y^-|^3),\ |y_1 - y^-| \leq \varepsilon\}$. Thus, $T_1(W^u_{loc}(O_1))$ has a quadratic tangency with $W^u_{loc}(O_1)$ for $\mu_1 = \sqrt{-\mu_2} + O(|\mu_2|^{3/2})$ — this is the equation of the bifurcation curve L_h. By definition, $\mu_2 = 0$ is the equation of the bifurcation curve L^+.

4 The first return maps and the description of their bifurcations

Using formulas (3) and (8) we can easily construct (for all sufficiently large k and small μ) the first return maps $T_k = T_1 T_0^k : \sigma_k^0 \to \Pi^+$ in the local coordinates (1). However, the obtained formula, see (25), will be not very suitable for calculations because of its complexity. So that we will apply the so-called *rescaling method* [15, 5] to this formula. The main idea of this method is to perform smooth changes of coordinates and parameters (renormalization) in order to bring the map to some standard form where small terms do not affect the dynamics. The following lemma formalizes this approach to our case.

Lemma 2 (rescaling lemma) *Let f_μ be the family of diffeomorphisms defined above. On the parameter plane (μ_1, μ_2) there exist domain RD (Rescaling Domain) that includes the origin, where, using smooth changes of coordinates $(x_0, y_k) \to (X, Y)$ and parameters, for sufficiently large k, the first return map T_k can be brought to the following form:*

$$\begin{array}{l} \bar{X} = bY + o(1)_{k\to\infty}, \\ \bar{Y} = M - Y^2 + o(1)_{k\to\infty}, \end{array} \tag{9}$$

where new coordinates $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$, Y and parameter M are defined in a ball $\|X, Y, M\| < S_k$, where $S_k \to +\infty$ as $k \to \infty$. The $o(1)$-terms here denote some functions of (X, Y, M) which tend to zero as $k \to \infty$ together with all their derivatives (up to the order $(r-2)$), and

$$M = -\frac{(y^-)^4}{d\theta_k^2}(\mu_1 - \nu_k + \ldots). \tag{10}$$

The proof of the rescaling lemma is given in section 6.

Lemma 2 shows that the study of bifurcations of the first return map T_k for large k can be reduced to the study of the standard parabola map:

$$\bar{Y} = M - Y^2 \tag{11}$$

bifurcations of which are well-known. Namely, when $M \in (-1/4, 3/4)$ the map (11) possesses a stable fixed point which is born under the saddle-node bifurcation at $M = -1/4$ and loses stability via the period-doubling bifurcation at $M = 3/4$. From formula (10) we obtain that the equations of the bifurcation curves L_k^+ corresponding to a saddle-node bifurcation and L_k^- corresponding to a period-doubling bifurcation have the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ll} L_k^+ : & \mu_1 = \nu_k(\mu_2) + \dfrac{d}{4(y^-)^4}\theta_k^2(\mu_2) + \ldots \\ L_k^- : & \mu_1 = \nu_k(\mu_2) - \dfrac{3d}{4(y^-)^4}\theta_k^2(\mu_2) + \ldots \end{array} \tag{12}$$

Figure 5 illustrates the bifurcation diagram of single-round periodic orbits in the case of tangency from the saddle zone ($d > 0$). In the case of tangency from the node zone ($d < 0$) it will look quite similar with the only difference that curves L_k^+ and L_k^- will change places (L_k^+ will be the lower and L_k^- the higher boundaries of $\triangle_k$, see Figure 2).

Theorem 2 is proved. It remains only to prove the main technical results — lemmas 1 and 2.

5 Proof of lemma 1

Consider an orbit (x_i, y_i), $i = \overline{0,k}$, such that $(x_0, y_0) \in \sigma_0^k \subset \Pi^+$, $(x_k, y_k) \in \sigma_1^k \subset \Pi^-$ and $(x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) = T_0(x_i, y_i)$.

Provided that the second equation in (1) does not depend on coordinates x, we will consider it first. We will prove formula (3) assuming that inequalities $|y_0| < \varepsilon$ and $|y_k - y^-| < \varepsilon$ hold in Π^+ and Π^-. Here, without loss of generality, we assume that Π^+ and Π^- are sufficiently small rectangular neighbourhoods having the same fixed diameter ε. We rewrite the second equation from (1) as

$$\bar{y} = y + g(y, \mu), \text{ where } g(y, \mu) = \mu_2 + y^2 + O(y^3). \tag{13}$$

It easy to see that $g(y, \mu) > 0$ for $\mu_2 > 0$. If $\mu_2 \le 0$ we exclude from our consideration the domain of attraction of the saddle-node (for $\mu_2 = 0$) or the node (for $\mu_2 < 0$) as it obviously does not contain points, iterations of which (with respect to T_0) reach Π^-. In other words we consider only a sub-domain of U^- where $y > \sqrt{-\mu_2} + O(|\mu_2|^{3/2})$ i.e. above $W^{ss}(O)$ or $W^s(O_1)$ respectively. For these values of y for all small μ function $g(y, \mu)$ is strictly positive so that sequence $\{y_0, \dots, y_k\}$ is monotonically increasing.

In order to find a formula for y_0 for all small μ_2 we consider the following integral from y to $\bar{y}$:

$$\int_y^{y+g(y,\mu)} \frac{dy}{\mu_2 + y^2} = 1 + \phi(y, \mu), \tag{14}$$

where

$$\phi(y,\mu) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_2}} \arctan \dfrac{\sqrt{\mu_2}}{1 + y + O(y^3)} - 1, & \text{if } \mu_2 > 0, \\ \dfrac{O(y)}{1 + y + O(y^2)}, & \text{if } \mu_2 = 0, \\ \dfrac{1}{2\sqrt{-\mu_2}} \log \left[\dfrac{1 + \sqrt{-\mu_2} + y + O(y^3)}{1 - \sqrt{-\mu_2} + y + O(y^3)} \right] - 1, & \text{if } \mu_2 < 0. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Formula (14) can be written for each $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}$ by taking $y = y_i$ and $y + g(y, \mu) = y_{i+1}$. Adding together all these integrals for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ we obtain the following implicit dependency of y_0 on y_k:

$$\int_{y_0}^{y_k} \frac{dy}{\mu_2 + y^2} = k + \eta(y_k, \mu), \text{ where } \eta(y_k, \mu) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \phi(y_i(y_k, \mu), \mu), \tag{16}$$

Note that functions $\phi(y, \mu)$ and $\eta(y, \mu)$ are C^{r-1}-smooth. The required smoothness of function $\phi(y, \mu)$ follows from the fact that the integral in (15) is taken from a smooth function. Then, we have $\eta(y, \mu) \in C^{r-1}$ as it is a finite sum of smooth functions $\phi(y_i(y_k, \mu), \mu)$.

Introduce the following value:

$$\zeta_k(\mu) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{g(y_i(y^-, \mu), \mu)}. \tag{17}$$

Lemma 3 *Function $\eta(y_k, \mu)$ is uniformly bounded together with its derivatives with respect to y_k up to order $(r-1)$. For the derivatives of $\eta(y_k, \mu)$ with respect to the parameters the following uniform in k estimates hold:*

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2+k_3} \eta(y_k, \mu)}{\partial \mu_1^{k_1} \partial \mu_2^{k_2} \partial y_k^{k_3}} \right| \le const \cdot \zeta_k^{k_1+k_2}(\mu) \tag{18}$$

Proof.

Derivatives of $\phi(y,\mu)$ with respect to y_k are obtained via an explicit differentiation of integral (16) with respect to y_k. They are easily estimated (see [11]) as follows:

$$\left|\frac{\partial^m \phi(y_j(y_k,\mu),\mu)}{\partial y_k^m}\right| \le \text{const}\cdot g(y_j,\mu). \tag{19}$$

Thus for the derivatives of $\eta(y_k,\mu)$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\partial^m \eta(y_k,\mu)}{\partial y_k^m}\right| \le \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left|\frac{\partial^m \phi(y_j(y_k,\mu),\mu)}{\partial y_k^m}\right| \le \text{const}\cdot\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} g(y_j,\mu) = \\ = \text{const}\cdot\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(y_{i+1}-y_i) = \text{const}\cdot(y_k-y_0) \le \text{const}\cdot(y^- + 2\varepsilon).\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Also, in analogy to [11] it can be shown that

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2+k_3} y_j(y_k,\mu)}{\partial \mu_1^{k_1}\partial \mu_2^{k_2}\partial y_k^{k_3}}\right| \le \text{const}\cdot g(y_j,\mu)\zeta_k^{k_1+k_2}(\mu). \tag{21}$$

Estimates of the same kind are valid for the derivatives of $\phi(y_i(y_k,\mu),\mu)$. Adding together these inequalities we finally obtain estimate (18). The lemma is proved.

Lemma 3 allows us to represent formula (16) in the following form:

$$\int_{y_0}^{y_k}\frac{dy}{\mu_2+y^2} = k+\eta(y_k,\mu) = k(1+\delta_k)+O(y_k-y^-), \tag{22}$$

where $\delta_k \to 0$ as $k\to\infty$. Following this we rewrite the local map (16)as follows:

$$y_0 = \begin{cases} \dfrac{y_k\sqrt{\mu_2}-\mu_2\cdot\tan\left[k\sqrt{\mu_2}(1+\delta_k)+\sqrt{\mu_2}O(y_k-y^-)\right]}{y_k\cdot\tan\left[k\sqrt{\mu_2}(1+\delta_k)+\sqrt{\mu_2}O(y_k-y^-)\right]+\sqrt{\mu_2}}, & \mu_2>0 \\ \dfrac{y_k}{y_k(k(1+\delta_k)+O(y_k-y^-))+1}, & \mu_2=0 \\ \dfrac{y_k\sqrt{-\mu_2}-\mu_2\cdot\tanh\left[k\sqrt{-\mu_2}(1+\delta_k)+\sqrt{-\mu_2}O(y_k-y^-)\right]}{y_k\cdot\tanh\left[k\sqrt{-\mu_2}(1+\delta_k)+\sqrt{-\mu_2}O(y_k-y^-)\right]+\sqrt{-\mu_2}}, & \mu_2<0 \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

Expanding formula (23) into the Taylor series near point $y_k = y^-$ we obtain formula (3) with ν_k given by formula (4) and $|\rho_k| \sim g^2(y^-,\mu) << 1$.

Now formula for x_k from (3) can be obtained as a solution of the corresponding boundary value problem [19], [18] for which coordinates y_i, $i=0,1,\dots,k$ are already known. Lemma 1 is proved.

6 Proof of Lemma 2

By virtue of formulas (3) and (8) we can write first return map T_k in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{x}-x^+ = aA^kx+o(\lambda^k)\xi_k(x,y,\mu)+b(y-y^-)+O(\lambda^{2k}\|x\|^2+\lambda^k\|x\||y-y^-|+\\ &\quad+(y-y^-)^2)\\ &\frac{\nu_k y^- -\mu_2}{\nu_k+y^-}+\frac{\theta_k(1+\rho_k)}{(\nu_k+y^-)^2}(\bar{y}-y^-)+\theta_k O((\bar{y}-y^-)^2) = \mu_1+cA^kx+\\ &\quad+o(\lambda^k)\xi_k(x,y,\mu)+d(y-y^-)^2+O(\lambda^{2k}\|x\|^2+\lambda^k\|x\||y-y^-|+\\ &\quad+|y-y^-|^3).\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

We perform a shift of coordinates $x_{new} = x - x^+ + \psi_{1k},\ y_{new} = y - y^- + \psi_{2k}$, where $\psi_{1k}, \psi_{2k} = O(\lambda^k)$, to eliminate the constant term in the first equation and the linear term in y_{new} in the second equation of (24). The map is rewritten as:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bar{x} = aA^k x + by + o(\lambda^k)O(\|x\|^2) + \lambda^k O(\|x\||y|) + O(y^2) \\ \dfrac{\theta_k(1+\rho_k)}{(\nu_k + y^-)^2}\bar{y} + \theta_k O(\bar{y}^2) = \\ \qquad = M_1 + cA^k x + dy^2 + o(\lambda^k)O(\|x\|^2) + \lambda^k O(\|x\||y|) + O(|y|^3), \end{array} \tag{25}$$

where $M_1 = \mu_1 - \nu_k(\mu) + \ldots$ and the dots stand for higher order terms. Now rescale the coordinates using the following formulas:

$$x = \alpha X,\ y = \alpha Y, \tag{26}$$

where

$$\alpha = -\frac{\theta_k(1+\rho_k)}{d(y^-)^2}. \tag{27}$$

After this, at those k for which θ_k are asymptotically small, map (25) can be represented in the form (9) and formula (10) is valid for M. We denote as RD the domain of the parameter plane where $\theta_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. It is easy to check that this domain includes the origin but is bounded from below by some curve of the form $\mu_1 = -\varepsilon + O(\sqrt{\mu_2})$ which passes through point $(\mu_1 = -\varepsilon, \mu_2 = 0)$. We also note that this curve is a conditional boundary of domain D_{LS}, where the rescaling-method does not work as θ_k is not infinitesimal here for $k \to \infty$. Lemma 2 is proved.

Acknowledgements.

The authors are grateful to Prof. D.V. Turaev for very useful discussions. The paper was supported by grant 14-41-00044 of the RSF, grants of RFBR, projects No.13-01-00589, 13-01-97028–povolzhje and 14-01-00344, EPSRC Mathematics Platform grant EP/I019111/1 and the Leverhulme Trust grant RPG-279.

References

[1] L.P. Shilnikov. On a Poincare-Birkhoff problem. *Math. USSR Sb.*, 1967, vol. 3, No.3, pp. 353–371.

[2] S.V. Gonchenko, D.V. Turaev, L.P. Shilnikov, On models with non-rough Poincare homoclinic curves (in Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 1991, vol. 320, pp. 269–272.

[3] S.V. Gonchenko, L.P. Shil'nikov, D.V. Turaev, On models with non-rough Poincare homoclinic curves, *Physica D.*, 1993, vol. 62, pp. 1–14.

[4] S.V. Gonchenko, D.V. Turaev, L.P. Shilnikov, Homoclinic tangencies of arbitrary order in Newhouse domains (in Russian), *Proc. of Int. Conf. dedicated to L.S. Pontryagin 90th anniversary*, 1999, vol. 67, pp. 69–128.

[5] S.V. Gonchenko, L.P. Shilnikov, D.V. Turaev, Homoclinic tangencies of arbitrarily high orders in conservative and dissipative two-dimensional maps, *Nonlinearity*, 2007, vol. 20, pp. 241–275.

[6] N.K. Gavrilov, L.P. Shilnikov, On three-dimensional dynamical systems close to systems with a structurally unstable homoclinic curve, Part I. *Math. USSR Sbornik*, 1972, vol. 17, 467–485; Part II. *ibid*, 1973, vol. 19, pp. 139–156.

[7] Lukjanov V.I., Shilnikov L.P., On some bifurcations of dynamical systems with homoclinic structures, *DAN SSSR*, 1978, vol. 243, no. 1, pp. 26–29.

[8] *S.V.Gonchenko* On stable periodic motions in systems close to a system with a non-transversal homoclinic curve. Russian Math. Notes. 1983. V.33. No.5. P.384–389.

[9] Afraimovich V.S., Shilnikov L.P., Invariant tori, their breakdown and stochasticity, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, 1991, vol. 149, pp. 201–211.

[10] S. Newhouse, J. Palis, F. Takens, Bifurcations and stability of families of diffeomorphisms. *IHES Publ. Math.*, 1983, vol. 57, 5–71.

[11] Shilnikov, L.P., Turaev, D.V., A new simple bifurcation of a periodic orbit of "blue sky Catastrophe" type, Methods of qualitative theory of differential equations and related topics , Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 200, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 165-188.

[12] Gordeeva O.V., Lukjanov V.I., On bifurcations of dynamical systems of codimension two having a non-rough homoclinic structure of a saddle-node, *Vestnik Nizhegorodskogo universiteta*, 2007, no. 2, pp. 175–180.

[13] Gorgeeva O.V., Lukjanov V.I., Certain bifurcations of limit sets in a neighbourhood of a non-rough homoclinic structure with a non-degenerated periodic motion, *Nelineinyi mir*, 2007, vol.5, no. 1–2, pp. 95–100.

[14] V.I. Lukjanov, Bifurcations of dynamical systems with a saddle-node separatrix loop, *Differentsialnyje uravnenija*, 1982, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 1493–1506; English transl., *Differential equations*, 1983, vol. 18, pp. 1049–1059.

[15] Tedeschini-Lalli L., Yorke J.A. How often do simple dynamical processes have infinitely many coexisting sinks? *Commun.Math.Phys.* 1986. V.106. P.635-657.

[16] Lukjanov V.I., On existence of smooth invariant foliations in a neighbourhood of some non-rough fixed points of a diffeomorphism, *Differential and integral equations, Mezhvuz. sb.*, Gorky, 1979, vol. 3.

[17] M.W. Hirsch, C.C. Pugh, M. Shub, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, v.583, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.

[18] L.P. Shilnikov, A.L. Shilnikov, D.V. Turaev, L.O. Chua, *Methods of qualitative theory in nonlinear dynamics. Part I*, World Scientific, 1998.

[19] S.V. Gonchenko, L.P. Shilnikov, On moduli of systems with a structurally unstable homoclinic Poincare curve, *Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.*, 1993, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 417–445.